

Industry Needs Analysis for Augmented, Virtual and Extended Reality: Addressing Skills Gaps in Immersive Technologies for Economic Development

¹Javier Sánchez-García, ²Luis Callarisa-Fiol,
³Juan Carlos Fandos-Roig, ⁴Sandra Tena-Monferrer.

¹Professor, Universitat Jaume I, Av. Sos Baynat s/n, 12071 Castellón, Spain

^{2,3,4}Associate Professor, Universitat Jaume I, Av. Sos Baynats/n, 12071 Castellón, Spain

Email: ¹jsanchez@uji.es, ²callaris@uji.es, ³jfan-dos@uji.es, ⁴smonferr@uji.es

Contact: ^{1,2,3,4}+34964728000

ABSTRACT

The rapid advancement of augmented reality (AR), virtual reality (VR), and extended reality (XR) technologies presents unprecedented opportunities for economic innovation and sectoral transformation. However, significant skills gaps persist across European industries, limiting the sector's capacity for innovation and competitiveness. This study presents a comprehensive industry needs analysis conducted across ten countries (Turkey, Romania, Spain, South Africa, Bulgaria, Germany, Greece, Sweden, Slovakia, and Israel) through 200 in-depth interviews with 160 companies. The research, conducted between September and October 2024 as part of the Metaverse Academy Project, identifies critical skills requirements, training needs, and barriers to adoption of immersive technologies. Key findings reveal high awareness of these technologies but variable adoption rates, a significant multidisciplinary skills gap encompassing technical, creative, and soft skills, and a strong need for industry-academia collaboration. The analysis provides evidence-based recommendations for developing comprehensive training programmes aligned with industry needs, thus contributing to the European Union's competitiveness in the immersive technologies sector.

Citation:

Sánchez-García, J., Callarisa-Fiol, L., Fandos-Roig, J. C., & Tena-Monferrer, S. (2025). Industry Needs Analysis for Augmented, Virtual and Extended Reality: Addressing Skills Gaps in Immersive Technologies for Economic Development. *Joinetech (International Journal of Economy and Technology)*, 1(2), 11-20. UTAMED. <https://doi.org/10.65479/joinetech.21>

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: augmented reality, virtual reality, extended reality, skills gap, workforce development, immersive technologies, training needs, industry-academia collaboration, economic development, digital transformation.

Submission: September 2, 2025, Acceptance: November 20, 2025, Published: December 2025.

1. Introduction

The immersive technology landscape is undergoing a profound transformation, with augmented reality (AR), virtual reality (VR), and extended reality (XR) technologies increasingly reshaping business processes, educational practices, and industrial operations across multiple sectors. The market for immersive technologies has demonstrated substantial growth trajectory, with projections suggesting a £1.4 trillion economic impact by 2030 (PwC, 2020). Despite this potential, the European Union faces a critical challenge: a significant skills gap that threatens to limit innovation and competitiveness in this rapidly evolving technological domain.

The Metaverse Academy Project, an Erasmus+ Alliance for Innovation initiative (Grant Agreement 101140232), was conceived to address this emerging challenge. The project recognizes that while technological capabilities are advancing rapidly, the human capital necessary to implement, manage, and innovate with these technologies remains underdeveloped across the European Union and associated countries. This discrepancy between technological potential and human capability creates a critical juncture for policy makers, educational institutions, and industry stakeholders.

The complexity of immersive technology integration extends beyond technical considerations. Industry professionals require not only programming expertise and hardware familiarity but also creative capabilities, strategic thinking, domain-specific knowledge, and soft skills such as collaboration and project management. The convergence of these diverse skill requirements across art, science, and business domains has created unprecedented challenges for educational institutions attempting to prepare the next generation of professionals.

While previous research on technology adoption highlights the importance of skills availability, there is a lack of comparative evidence on the needs of the immersive sector across European industries. This study addresses this gap by delivering one of the first multi-country, cross-sector empirical analyses, clarifying both the research gap and the specific added value of our approach in mapping multi-dimensional skills needs in immersive technologies.

The research objectives are threefold: first, to assess current industry awareness and adoption levels of AR/VR/XR technologies across ten countries; second, to identify specific skills gaps and training requirements perceived by industry professionals; and third, to provide evidence-based recommendations for developing effective educational interventions that align with real-world industry needs.

2. Methodology

This research followed best practices as documented in recent comparative skills studies (Peter et al., 2025; Magadza & Mampane, 2025). Theoretical framing is based on latest analyses in vocational education and digital transformation (Abd et al., 2025).

2.1 Research Design and Data Collection

This study employed a qualitative research methodology centered on in-depth interviews with industry stakeholders. The research design was developed collaboratively across the Metaverse Academy Project consortium, with each partner country responsible for implementing consistent protocols adapted to local contexts.

Sampling Strategy: The research targeted companies across diverse sectors with particular attention to technology-intensive industries where immersive technologies demonstrate greatest adoption potential. The sample comprised 160 companies distributed across ten countries, yielding 200 individual interviews. This multi-country, multi-sector approach enabled comparative analysis while maintaining sufficient depth through qualitative investigation.

Sampling Strategy: The research targeted companies across diverse sectors with emphasis on technology-intensive and innovation-driven organisations. The selection criteria included a minimum operational capacity, ongoing digital transformation processes, and a willingness to participate. Table 1 summarises the sample by country, sector, company size, and respondent role, thereby improving both transparency and replicability. The sample comprised 160 companies, distributed across ten countries, resulting in 200 individual interviews.

Geographic Coverage: The study encompassed ten countries representing diverse economic contexts, technological development levels, and regulatory environments. Turkey and Germany, representing advanced technology sectors, were complemented by emerging markets (Romania, Bulgaria, Slovakia) and established technology hubs (Sweden, Israel), alongside countries with significant growth potential (Spain, Greece, South Africa).

Sample Distribution: Turkey led the study with 58 companies and 59 responses, significantly exceeding target parameters. Germany contributed 21 companies with 21 responses. South Africa yielded 20 companies with 36 responses. Bulgaria provided 24 companies with 34 responses. Remaining countries (Romania, Spain, Greece, Sweden, Slovakia, Israel)

contributed between 5 and 10 companies each, totaling 39 companies and 47 responses. This distribution reflects both the concentration of immersive technology development in certain geographies and the growing interest across diverse markets.

Interviewee Profiles: Interviews targeted senior organizational decision-makers including General Managers, Project Specialists, Founders, Delivery Managers, and Strategy Leaders. This approach ensured access to strategic perspectives on technology adoption, skills requirements, and organizational innovation capacity.

Table 1. Sample Overview by Country, Company Count, Interview Count, and Dominant Industry Sectors.

| Country | Number of Companies | Number of Interviews | Dominant Sectors | Notes on Sample Distribution |
|--------------|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|--|
| Turkey | 58 | 59 | Technology, Manufacturing | Largest contributor, advanced tech adoption |
| Germany | 21 | 21 | Manufacturing, Healthcare | Established tech hub, solid sample |
| South Africa | 20 | 36 | Healthcare, Services | Emerging market with growing interest |
| Bulgaria | 24 | 34 | Manufacturing, Education | Emerging adoption, smaller industries |
| Romania | 10 | 12 | Education, Retail | Smaller companies, varied adoption stages |
| Spain | 8 | 10 | Retail, Tourism | Moderate adoption, sectoral diversity |
| Greece | 6 | 7 | Tourism, Cultural Heritage | Emerging use cases, niche sectors |
| Sweden | 5 | 6 | Technology, Retail | Advanced market, smaller sample |
| Slovakia | 5 | 7 | Education, Manufacturing | Emerging markets, mixed sectors |
| Israel | 6 | 8 | Technology, Startups | Innovation-driven, high tech adoption |
| Total | 163 | 200 | | Includes ten countries, multi-sector, multi-level distribution |

2.2 Interview Instrument and Data Collection Protocol

The research employed a structured interview guide organized into eight sections addressing: organizational characteristics and ICT adoption, current training practices, awareness of immersive technologies, existing usage and applications, perceived benefits and challenges, workforce demand and specific skills requirements, opportunities for industry-academia collaboration, and open-ended commentary on emerging themes.

The interview protocol was translated into local languages where necessary while maintaining consistent questioning frameworks to ensure comparability across countries. Interviews were conducted between September and October 2024, a timing that captured current industry perspectives while the Metaverse Academy Project was in its implementation phase.

2.3 Data Analysis Approach

Interview responses were systematically analyzed using thematic analysis methodology. Responses were coded according to emerging themes, with particular attention to cross-national patterns and country-specific variations. The analysis identified consensus positions alongside divergent perspectives, enabling nuanced understanding of how geographic, sectoral, and organizational contexts shape immersive technology adoption and skills needs.

3. Comparative Analysis: Industry Awareness and Adoption of Immersive Technologies

3.1 General Awareness Levels Across Countries

The research reveals highly differentiated awareness patterns across the ten countries studied. Turkey and Germany demonstrated the most advanced awareness levels, with 95% and 92% of interviewees respectively demonstrating detailed familiarity with VR, AR, XR, and Metaverse concepts. These countries' awareness reflected both substantial research and development investments and concentrated technology sector presence.

South Africa and Bulgaria exhibited moderate-to-high awareness, with 78% and 81% of respondents respectively demonstrating familiarity with immersive technologies. This awareness often reflected growing interest rather than extensive implementation experience. Romania, Spain, and Greece showed similar patterns, with 72%, 76%, and 68% awareness levels respectively. Sweden, Slovakia, and Israel, despite smaller sample sizes, demonstrated high awareness levels (85-90%), consistent with their reputations as innovation-driven economies.

Significantly, the research revealed that general awareness has not translated proportionally to practical implementation. This awareness-implementation gap suggests that while executive familiarity with immersive technologies is substantial, organizational capacity for implementation remains constrained by various factors including cost, technical expertise, and perceived relevance to specific business models (see Table 2).

Table 2. Awareness and Adoption of Immersive Technologies by Country.

| Country | % Awareness of XR | % Actual Adoption (Implemented Projects/Use) | Notes on Implementation |
|--------------|-------------------|--|---|
| Turkey | 95 | 57 | High R&D investment, strong sectoral presence |
| Germany | 92 | 54 | Education, manufacturing concentration |
| South Africa | 78 | 48 | Healthcare and services growth |
| Bulgaria | 81 | 50 | Strong adoption in education/manufacturing |
| Romania | 72 | 39 | Growing, but limited by sector scale |
| Spain | 76 | 41 | Tourism, retail moderate use |
| Greece | 68 | 37 | Niche adoption in culture/tourism |
| Sweden | 85 | 52 | Tech hub, small but advanced samples |
| Slovakia | 90 | 44 | Mixed sector, emerging adoption |
| Israel | 88 | 59 | Startups, strong technology penetration |

3.2 Sectoral Patterns in Awareness and Adoption

Analysis by sector revealed distinct patterns in immersive technology adoption. Education emerged as the leading adopter sector across all countries, with 87% of educational organizations reporting some form of immersive technology experimentation. Universities in Turkey and Germany reported established VR laboratories for physics, chemistry, and medicine. Secondary and vocational institutions increasingly integrated AR applications into curricula (Maraza-Quispe et al., 2025; Martins, Jorge & Zorral, 2023).

Healthcare demonstrated the second-highest adoption level, particularly for surgical training and anatomical visualization. German and Turkish hospitals reported advanced implementations using AR for surgical guidance. South African and Spanish healthcare institutions reported growing interest in VR simulation for training scenarios involving high-risk procedures (Esen et al., 2025).

Manufacturing and engineering showed significant adoption in Germany and Turkey, with 76% of manufacturing firms in these countries reporting AR implementation for maintenance, repair, and quality control processes. Bulgaria and Romania reported emerging interest, while Spain and Greece showed more limited implementation due to smaller manufacturing bases in technology-intensive sectors (Delgado et al., 2020).

Retail and e-commerce sectors demonstrated emerging adoption, concentrated primarily in Germany, Turkey, and Sweden. Virtual try-on technologies and augmented showroom experiences were reported by 63% of retail respondents in advanced markets but only 28% in emerging economies.

Tourism and cultural heritage represented an emerging application area, particularly in Greece, Spain, and Turkey, where AR experiences enhanced museum and historical site visitor engagement.

Gaming and entertainment, unsurprisingly, demonstrated nearly universal engagement with immersive technologies across all countries, though this sector's skills profiles differ substantially from enterprise applications (see Table 3).

Table 3. Sectoral Adoption Rates of Immersive Technologies.

| Sector | % of Respondents Reporting Adoption | Typical Applications | Notes / Leading Countries |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| Education | 87 | VR labs, AR curriculum, virtual classrooms | Turkey, Germany, Sweden |
| Healthcare | 72 | Surgical training simulations, AR guides | Germany, South Africa, Bulgaria |
| Manufacturing/Engineering | 65 | AR maintenance, quality control, training | Germany, Turkey, Bulgaria |
| Retail/E-Commerce | 46 | Virtual try-on, AR showrooms | Germany, Turkey, Sweden |
| Tourism & Cultural Heritage | 34 | AR site experiences, museum apps | Greece, Spain, Turkey |
| Gaming/Entertainment | 98 | XR games, immersive media | All countries, especially Israel & Sweden |

3.3 Motivations for Adoption

Across all countries and sectors, certain motivations consistently drove immersive technology adoption. Enhanced learning and training environments represented the primary motivation, cited by 89% of educational and professional training organizations. Respondents emphasized the capacity for safe simulation of complex or hazardous procedures, cost-effective repetitive practice, and engagement enhancement through immersive modalities.

Improved operational efficiency motivated 76% of manufacturing and engineering firms adopting immersive technologies. Real-time information overlay, reduced error rates, and accelerated task completion were cited as primary efficiency drivers.

Enhanced customer experience motivated 69% of retail and e-commerce respondents utilizing immersive technologies. Virtual try-on features, furniture visualization tools, and immersive product demonstrations were reported to reduce return rates and increase customer satisfaction.

Remote collaboration motivations emerged strongly post-pandemic, cited by 71% of respondents across all sectors. Virtual meetings, distributed team collaboration, and remote supervision represented significant drivers for immersive technology exploration.

Competitive positioning and innovation demonstration motivated 58% of technology sector respondents, particularly in countries with active start-up ecosystems (Israel, Sweden, Germany).

4. The Skills Gap: Technical, Creative, and Organizational Dimensions

4.1 Technical Skills Requirements

The research identified comprehensive technical skill requirements spanning multiple domains. Programming expertise emerged as universally essential, with C++, C#, and Python cited as critical competencies by 94% of software-focused organizations. Game engine proficiency, particularly Unity and Unreal Engine, was identified as mandatory by 91% of technical respondents.

Three-dimensional modeling and animation capabilities were identified as essential by 87% of respondents across education, entertainment, gaming, and design sectors. Proficiency in industry-standard tools including Maya, Blender, and 3ds Max was consistently cited as prerequisite knowledge.

User interface and user experience design specific to immersive environments emerged as increasingly critical competency, identified as essential by 85% of respondents developing user-facing applications. This requirement reflects the distinctive challenges of spatial interface design in three-dimensional environments.

Hardware familiarity encompassing VR headsets (Oculus, HTC Vive, PlayStation VR), AR glasses, haptic devices, and emerging mixed reality platforms was identified as important by 79% of technical respondents, particularly in manufacturing and medical sectors.

4.2 Creative and Design Skills

Storytelling and narrative design capabilities were identified as critical by 84% of respondents across education, entertainment, and enterprise sectors. The capacity to create compelling narratives within immersive environments was recognized as distinct from traditional narrative design.

Visual design expertise including color theory, lighting, composition in three-dimensional space, and spatial aesthetics was identified as essential by 81% of respondents developing user-facing applications. Respondents emphasized that immersive environments demand different aesthetic principles than traditional two-dimensional media.

Interactive design and gamification methodology were identified as important by 76% of training and educational respondents, reflecting growing recognition that engagement-through-design principles enhance learning outcomes in immersive contexts.

4.3 Soft Skills and Domain Knowledge

Project management capabilities specific to multidisciplinary immersive technology development were identified as essential by 88% of respondents leading technology initiatives. This requirement reflects the complexity of coordinating technical developers, creative professionals, domain experts, and project stakeholders.

Collaboration and cross-functional communication skills were emphasized by 92% of respondents, reflecting the inherently multidisciplinary nature of immersive technology development. Respondents highlighted that effective immersive applications require seamless collaboration between technologists, designers, content creators, and domain specialists.

Domain-specific knowledge was identified as important by 79% of respondents in specialized sectors. Respondents emphasized that effective healthcare applications require medical expertise, manufacturing applications require industrial process knowledge, and educational applications require pedagogical understanding.

5. Current Training Landscape and Identified Gaps

5.1 Formal Educational Programmes

Germany and Turkey lead in formal educational provision, with 38 and 31 universities respectively offering specialized programmes in immersive technology development. These programmes typically integrate computer science, design, and media studies within comprehensive curricula. Sweden and Israel similarly offer specialized educational pathways, though through smaller educational systems.

Romania, Bulgaria, Greece, Spain, and Slovakia reported limited formal provision. Most relevant education occurs within broader computer science or digital media programmes rather than dedicated immersive technology curricula. This gap in formal education directly correlates with perceived skills shortages in these countries.

5.2 Industry-Led Training and Online Resources

Online learning platforms including Udacity, Coursera, and LinkedIn Learning were cited as important skill development resources by 73% of respondents across all countries. However, respondents consistently noted that online platforms provide technical skill development but inadequately address domain-specific application contexts and soft skill development.

In-house company training programmes were reported by 64% of technology sector companies in Germany and Turkey, 41% in South Africa and Spain, and only 23% in Romania, Bulgaria, and Slovakia. This disparity reflects differences in organizational capacity and perceived strategic importance of immersive technology competencies.

Specialized training providers focusing on immersive technologies were identified by 38% of respondents, primarily in Germany, Turkey, and Israel. However, respondents consistently reported that specialized training remains expensive and geographically concentrated.

5.3 Identified Training Gaps

Gap 1: Theory-Practice Integration: Respondents across all countries emphasized insufficient integration of theoretical foundations with practical application contexts. Respondents reported that technical training often lacks grounding in domain-specific applications and business context considerations.

Gap 2: Soft Skills Development: Training programmes were consistently identified as over-emphasizing technical competencies while underemphasizing project management, communication, collaboration, and creative thinking capabilities.

Gap 3: Rapid Technological Evolution: All respondents identified difficulty in maintaining training curriculum alignment with rapidly evolving technologies. Training content frequently becomes obsolete within 12-18 months.

Gap 4: Interdisciplinary Integration: Respondents in specialized sectors (healthcare, manufacturing, architecture) emphasized insufficient integration of immersive technology training with domain-specific expertise. Medical students lack immersive application training; engineering students lack practical AR implementation experience.

Gap 5: Accessibility and Geographic Distribution: Training remains concentrated in advanced economies and technology hubs, with limited accessibility in emerging markets or economically constrained regions.

6. Sectoral Implementation Realities and Requirements

6.1 Healthcare Applications and Requirements

Healthcare organizations across all countries recognized VR's potential for surgical training, patient rehabilitation, and psychological therapy. However, implementation barriers significantly constrained adoption. Regulatory requirements for medical technology validation were cited by 84% of healthcare respondents. High implementation costs (€500,000-€2 million for comprehensive systems) were cited by 91% of healthcare respondents as significant barriers. Training requirements for medical professionals unfamiliar with immersive technologies were cited by 78% of respondents.

6.2 Manufacturing and Engineering Applications

Manufacturing organizations in Germany, Turkey, and Bulgaria reported successful AR implementation for maintenance operations, reported 34% efficiency improvements in maintenance task completion times. However, integration with legacy industrial systems remained problematic for 69% of respondents. Skills requirements extended beyond traditional IT personnel to include manufacturing engineers and technicians unfamiliar with immersive technology interfaces.

6.3 Educational Applications

Educational institutions across all countries reported using immersive technologies for laboratory simulations, virtual field trips, and interactive visualization of abstract concepts. However, implementation barriers included inadequate institutional infrastructure (cited by 81% of respondents), insufficient teacher training (cited by 87% of respondents), and limited awareness of pedagogical best practices for immersive learning environments (cited by 73% of respondents).

7. Benefits, Challenges, and Implementation Requirements

7.1 Perceived Benefits

Enhanced Learning Efficacy: Respondents across education and training sectors reported that immersive environments accelerate learning for complex competencies. Medical respondents reported 25-40% reduction in training time for complex procedures when utilizing VR simulation compared to traditional instruction.

Operational Efficiency: Manufacturing respondents reported 28-45% improvement in maintenance task efficiency when utilizing AR guidance systems. Reduced errors, accelerated completion, and improved first-time-right completion rates were consistently cited.

Safety Enhancement: High-risk industry respondents (mining, construction, manufacturing) reported substantial safety benefits from pre-incident virtual exposure and hazard familiarization prior to physical exposure.

Cost Reduction in Long-Term Implementation: While initial costs were substantial, respondents identified significant long-term cost benefits through reduced training material costs, reduced physical equipment wear, and reduced production downtime during training.

Market Differentiation: Retail and e-commerce respondents reported competitive advantages through customer experience differentiation via immersive shopping experiences.

7.2 Implementation Challenges

High Initial Investment Costs: The most consistently reported barrier across all countries, cited by 96% of respondents. Equipment costs (€5,000-€50,000 per unit depending on capability level), software development costs, and infrastructure upgrades represented substantial capital requirements.

Technical Complexity: Cited by 93% of respondents, the requirement for specialized skills in hardware, software, 3D content development, and integration represented significant barriers, particularly for non-technology-sector organizations.

Infrastructure Requirements: High-speed connectivity requirements, computing power requirements, and physical space requirements were cited by 88% of respondents as barriers, particularly in emerging markets with less developed digital infrastructure.

Content Development Challenges: Creating sector-specific, high-quality immersive content was identified as expensive and time-consuming, requiring integration of domain expertise, technical capability, and design sophistication.

User Adoption and Change Management: Organizational resistance to technology adoption, particularly among less digitally-native populations, was cited by 71% of respondents. Concerns about job displacement and discomfort with new interfaces represented barriers.

Privacy and Security Concerns: Data protection and cybersecurity requirements specific to immersive environments were cited by 82% of respondents, particularly in healthcare and manufacturing sectors handling sensitive data.

8. Industry-Academia Collaboration: Current State and Recommendations

8.1 Current Collaboration Models

Structured, sustained industry-academia collaborations were most developed in Germany and Turkey, where 73% of universities reported ongoing partnerships with industry organizations focused on curriculum development, research projects, and internship placements.

In Sweden and Israel, collaborations were often mediated through entrepreneurship and start-up incubation, with educational institutions supporting student-founded immersive technology companies.

Romania, Bulgaria, Greece, Spain, and Slovakia reported more limited collaborations, primarily taking the form of guest lectures, sporadic internships, or one-off research projects rather than sustained strategic partnerships.

8.2 Recognized Collaboration Benefits

Curriculum relevance assurance through industry input was cited by 94% of respondents as critical benefit. Industry expertise ensures training programmes address real-world requirements rather than theoretical abstractions.

Access to cutting-edge technology and resources was particularly valued by educational institutions in emerging markets, where capital constraints limit institutional investment.

Real-world project experience and internship opportunities were universally recognized as valuable for student competency development and employment transition.

Research and innovation opportunities through joint projects were valued by both industry (accessing academic expertise) and academia (accessing implementation contexts).

8.3 Collaboration Barriers and Recommendations

Pace Mismatches: Academic processes operate on semester/year cycles; industry operates on project cycles. Recommendation: Develop modular curriculum components amenable to rapid updating and industry input integration.

Intellectual Property Concerns: Particularly in innovation-intensive sectors, IP ownership ambiguity can impede collaboration. Recommendation: Develop clear IP frameworks addressing academic openness and industry confidentiality requirements.

Resource Constraints: Particularly in emerging economies, limited resources on both sides constrain collaboration. Recommendation: Develop shared laboratory facilities and innovation centers co-funded by industry and educational institutions.

Bureaucratic Processes: Administrative complexity in academic institutions can inhibit agile collaboration. Recommendation: Streamline administrative processes for industry partnerships and establish fast-track approval procedures.

Sustainability Concerns: Project-based collaborations often end when funding concludes. Recommendation: Develop sustained partnership frameworks with defined mutual benefits extending beyond individual projects.

9. Synthesis and Propositions for Future Development

The findings reinforce diffusion of innovation theory (Rogers, 2003) and the resource-based view in organizational capability (Barney, 1991). The practical implications include policy design for curriculum flexibility, upskilling frameworks, and public-private partnerships to close multi-level gaps (Rogers, 2003; Barney, 1991).

9.1 Cross-National Comparative Synthesis

The research reveals distinct but interconnected patterns across the ten countries. Countries with advanced technology sectors (Germany, Turkey, Israel) demonstrate higher adoption levels, more developed training infrastructure, and more established industry-academia collaborations. These countries serve as potential models for emerging technology markets.

Emerging markets (Romania, Bulgaria, Slovakia) demonstrate high awareness but limited implementation, primarily constrained by capital costs and skills availability. These countries represent significant opportunity markets if implementation barriers can be addressed.

Countries with established but diversifying economies (Spain, Greece, Sweden, South Africa) demonstrate emerging adoption patterns, with potential for specialized application development addressing local sector needs.

Geographic and economic context significantly influences immersive technology development trajectories. Policy interventions, targeted investment, and international knowledge transfer can potentially accelerate development in emerging markets.

9.2 Research Propositions for Investigation

Proposition 1: Sectoral innovation capacity in immersive technologies is positively correlated with existing industry-academia collaboration infrastructure in non-immersive technology sectors.

Proposition 2: Organizational adoption of immersive technologies is primarily constrained by perceived skill availability rather than technology capability or capital availability.

Proposition 3: Effective immersive technology training requires integration of technical skills, creative capabilities, and soft skills, with approximately equal emphasis on each competency domain.

Proposition 4: Long-term competitive advantage in immersive technology development derives from sustained industry-academia collaboration rather than isolated organizational innovation.

Proposition 5: Government policy support for shared laboratory facilities and innovation centers has greater impact on immersive technology adoption in emerging markets than subsidies for individual organizational implementation.

9.3 Synthesis Table: Cross-National Findings

| Dimension | Advanced Markets | Emerging Markets | Key Pattern |
|---------------------------------|--|---|--|
| Awareness Level | 90-95% | 70-80% | Awareness nearly universal; implementation highly variable |
| Implementation Rate | 45-65% | 15-25% | Significant awareness-implementation gap in emerging markets |
| Primary Adoption Sectors | Manufacturing, Healthcare, Education | Education, Gaming | Sectoral capacity varies with economic development |
| Dominant Skills Gap | Soft skills, interdisciplinary integration | Technical foundations, domain expertise | Gap composition differs by development level |
| Industry-Academia Collaboration | Structured, sustained | Project-based, sporadic | Collaboration maturity correlates with technology sector development |
| Primary Implementation Barriers | Technical complexity, integration challenges | Capital costs, skill availability | Barrier types differ by economic development |
| Government Role | Regulatory framework, standards development | Capital investment, skills development | Appropriate interventions differ by context |

Recommendation 2: Integrated Theory-Practice Approach Ensure substantial portions of training involve practical application within realistic implementation contexts, with domain expertise integration. Recommend minimum 40% hands-on project experience.

Recommendation 3: Multidisciplinary Team Composition Develop training programmes with instructors representing technical expertise, creative domains, domain specialists, and business perspectives. This composition models effective immersive technology development team composition.

Recommendation 4: Accessible Delivery Modalities Develop blended learning approaches combining online theoretical instruction with in-person practical experience. Implement virtual laboratory access to enable geographic distribution of training benefits.

10.2 Industry-Academia Collaboration Frameworks

Recommendation 5: Formal Partnership Structures Establish formalized partnership frameworks between industry and educational institutions, including governance structures, IP protocols, and sustainability mechanisms extending beyond individual projects.

Recommendation 6: Shared Laboratory Infrastructure Develop jointly-funded shared laboratory facilities and innovation centers providing access to cutting-edge equipment while distributing costs across multiple organizations.

Recommendation 7: Continuous Professional Development Extend industry-academia collaboration to include continuous professional development and reskilling programmes for practicing professionals, addressing rapid technological evolution.

10.3 Policy and Infrastructure Development

Recommendation 8: Government Support for Emerging Markets Policy makers in emerging markets should prioritize support for shared laboratory infrastructure, applied research funding, and skills development initiatives over subsidies for individual organizational implementation.

Recommendation 9: Regulatory Frameworks Develop regulatory and standardization frameworks specific to immersive technologies, addressing data privacy, security, safety, and interoperability concerns.

Recommendation 10: Cross-Border Knowledge Transfer Facilitate international collaboration mechanisms enabling emerging markets to benefit from advanced market experiences, avoiding duplicative development and accelerating capability building.

10. Recommendations for Strategic Development

10.1 Training Programme Development

Recommendation 1: Modular, Flexible Curricula Develop training programmes organized into modular components allowing rapid updating to maintain alignment with technological evolution. Modules should address technical competencies, creative capabilities, soft skills, and domain-specific applications.

11. Conclusions

This comprehensive industry needs analysis across ten countries reveals a complex landscape characterized by high awareness of immersive technologies, variable implementation capacity, significant multidisciplinary skills gaps, and substantial opportunities for accelerated development through targeted interventions.

The research demonstrates that immersive technology adoption is not constrained by technology capability or capital availability alone, but rather by skills availability, organizational capacity for integration, and development of appropriate training infrastructure. This finding suggests that educational interventions and industry-academia collaboration represent particularly high-leverage development strategies.

The sectoral differences observed across countries reflect both technology readiness and sector-specific implementation opportunities. Education emerges as the leading adoption sector across all countries, followed by healthcare and manufacturing. These sectors should receive particular attention in training programme development and policy support.

The multidisciplinary nature of immersive technology competencies—requiring simultaneous development of technical skills, creative capabilities, soft skills, and domain-specific expertise—presents both challenges and opportunities for educational innovation. Educational programmes successfully integrating these competency domains could generate substantial competitive advantage.

The research reveals that advanced technology markets (Germany, Turkey, Israel) possess developed infrastructure, established collaborations, and mature industry-academia partnerships that can serve as models for emerging markets. However, context-specific adaptation is necessary, recognizing differences in economic capacity, technological infrastructure, regulatory environments, and sectoral priorities.

Future research should investigate the effectiveness of recommended training interventions, track adoption trajectory in emerging markets, and analyze long-term competitive impact of different development strategies. Ongoing industry-academia engagement will be essential for maintaining alignment between educational provision and evolving industry requirements.

The Metaverse Academy Project is positioned to serve as a catalyst for addressing identified skills gaps through comprehensive training programme development grounded in this industry needs evidence. Success will require sustained commitment to industry-academia collaboration, continuous curriculum adaptation, and strategic policy support enabling widespread skill development across diverse geographic and economic contexts.

As immersive technologies continue advancing and finding new applications across diverse sectors, strategic investment in human capital development represents the critical lever for translating technological potential into competitive

advantage for European enterprises and societies. The evidence presented in this analysis demonstrates both the scale of the challenge and the opportunity for targeted, evidence-based interventions to address identified skills gaps and accelerate immersive technology adoption and innovation.

This study's findings should be interpreted in light of limitations including unequal sample distribution, reliance on self-reported qualitative data, and the rapidly evolving context of immersive technology adoption. Future research should expand sectoral coverage and apply longitudinal study.

References

Abd Rahman, N. H., Zubairi, Y. Z., Jani, R., Abdul Batau, M. F., Shamsudheen, M. I., Ishak, N. A., ... & Abdul Bahri, E. N. (2025). Governance structures and systemic challenges shaping the future of the technical and vocational education and training (TVET) workforce. *Education+ Training*, 1-21.

Peter, D., Peter, M., & Peter, P. (2025). Mapping the landscape of TVET education: a global bibliometric analysis. *Asian Education and Development Studies*, 1-26.

Magadza, I., & Mampane, J. (2025). Experiences of adult educators in selecting appropriate teaching methods in engineering courses at TVET colleges in Mpumalanga Province, South Africa. *Community College Journal of Research and Practice*, 49(8), 545-556.

Rogers, E. M. (2003). *Diffusion of innovations* (5th ed.). Free Press.

Barney, J. (1991). Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. *Journal of Management*, 17(1), 99-120. <https://doi.org/10.1177/014920639101700108>

Alliance4XR. (2024). D1.1 XR – state of play, market needs, training trends. Retrieved from <https://alliance4xr.eu>

Baethge-Kinsky, V. (2020). Digitized industrial work: Requirements, opportunities, and problems of competence development. *Frontiers in sociology*, 5, 33. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fsoc.2020.00033>

Bridging the skills gap: Embracing digital transformation. (2023). European Training Foundation. Retrieved from <https://www.etf.europa.eu>

Crolla, K., Song, J., Bunica, A., & Sheikh, A. T. (2024). Integrating extended reality in architectural design studio teaching and reviews: Implementing a participatory action research framework. *Buildings*, 14(6), 1865. <https://doi.org/10.3390/buildings14061865>

Delgado, J. M. D., Oyedele, L., Demian, P., & Beach, T. (2020). A research agenda for augmented and virtual reality in architecture, engineering and construction. *Advanced Engineering Informatics*, 45, 101122. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aei.2020.101122>

Dwivedi, Y. K., & Al-Banna, H. (2025). Generative artificial intelligence (GenAI) for sustainability: An exploration of emerging academic discourses. *JOINETECH: International Journal of Economic and Technological Studies*, 1(1), 1-10. <https://revistas.utamed.es/index.php/joinetech/article/view/14>

Esen, Y. E., Çetinçan, B. K., Yayan, K., Gürlek, H. G., & Yayan, U. (2025). XR-Driven Robotic System Training for Occupational Health, Safety, and Maintenance. *IEEE Access*. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2025.3556699>

European Commission. (2024). Supporting the digital transformation of vocational education and training. Publications Office of the European Union. Retrieved from <https://publications.jrc.ec.europa.eu/repository/handle/JRC141881>

Ibarra Kwick, J. M., Hernández-Uribe, Ó., Cárdenas-Robledo, L. A., & Luque-Morales, R. A. (2024). Extended Reality Applications for CNC Machine Training: A Systematic Review. *Multimodal Technologies and Interaction*, 8(9), 80. <https://doi.org/10.3390/mti8090080>

Imama, D. D., Ismara, K. I., Sudira, P., & Maryadi, T. H. T. (2025). Bridging the Digital Skills Gap: A Systematic Literature Review of Technology Adaptability in Vocational Education and Training. *International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science*, 9(6), 2983-2999. <https://dx.doi.org/10.47772/IJRRISS.2025.906000219>

Li, S., Zhang, C., Gao, C., & Lyu, M. R. (2024). XRZoo: A Large-Scale and Versatile Dataset of Extended Reality (XR) Applications. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2412.06759*. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2412.06759>

Lie, H., Studer, K., Zhao, Z., Thomson, B., Turakhia, D. G., & Liu, J. (2023, October). Training for open-ended drilling through a virtual reality simulation. In *2023 IEEE International symposium on mixed and augmented reality (ISMAR)* (pp. 366-375). IEEE. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2310.17417>

Lin, Q., & Pang, H. H. N. (2024). Exploring the digital transformation of TVET program development: A case study of Shenzhen Polytechnic University. *Vocation, Technology & Education*, 1(2). <https://doi.org/10.54844/vte.2024.0606>

Makransky, G., Andreasen, N. K., Baceviciute, S., & Mayer, R. E. (2021). Immersive virtual reality increases liking but not learning with a science simulation and generative learning strategies promote learning in immersive virtual reality. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 113(4), 719. <https://doi.org/10.1037/edu0000473>

Maraza-Quispe, B., Rosas-Imán, V. H., Casa-Zeballos, L., Trito-Suáñez, M. A., Traverso-Condori, L. C., Torres-Gonzalez, S. B., Feliciano-Yucra, G., Martínez-Lopez, A. C., & Tinco-Tupac, S. T. (2025). A mixed-methods approach to determine the impact of immersive learning on achieving technological competencies in basic education. *International Journal of Information and Education Technology*, 15(4), 835-846. <https://doi.org/10.18178/ijiet.2025.15.4.2290>

Martins, B. R., Jorge, J. A., & Zorral, E. R. (2023). Towards augmented reality for corporate training. *Interactive Learning Environments*, 31(4), 2305-2323. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2021.1879872>

Metaverse Academy Project. (2024). *Metaverse Academy: Addressing the future skills on AR/VR/XR technologies*. Erasmus+ Alliance for Innovation, Grant Agreement 101140232.

Othman, N., Omar, M., & Abd Majid, M. Z. (2025). Challenges of Digitalisation in TVET: A Recent Comprehensive Structured Review. *International Journal of Learning, Teaching and Educational Research*, 24(10), 210-229. <https://doi.org/10.26803/ijlter.24.10.10>

PwC. (2020). Virtual and augmented reality could deliver a £1.4 trillion boost to the global economy. Retrieved from <https://www.pwc.com/uk/en/media-centre/press-release/2020/english/virtual-and-augmented-reality-could-deliver-a-p1-4trillion-boost.html>

Rauschnabel, P. A., Felix, R., Hinsch, C., Shahab, H., & Alt, F. (2022). What is XR? Towards a framework for augmented and virtual reality. *Computers in human behavior*, 133, 107289. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2022.107289>

Samala, A. D., Bojic, L., Soha, R., Miftachul Arif, Y., Tsoy, D., & Pereira Coelho, D. (2024). Extended reality for education: Mapping current trends, challenges, and applications. *Jurnal Pendidikan Teknologi Kejuruan*, 7(3), 140-169. <https://doi.org/10.24036/jptk.v7i3.37623>

Slater, M., & Wilbur, S. (1997). A framework for immersive virtual environments (FIVE): Speculations on the role of presence in virtual environments. *Presence: Teleoperators and virtual environments*, 6(6), 603-616. <https://doi.org/10.1162/pres.1997.6.6.603>

Tee, P. K., Wong, L. C., Dada, M., Song, B. L., & Ng, C. P. (2024). Demand for digital skills, skill gaps and graduate employability: Evidence from employers in Malaysia. *F1000Research*, 13, 389. <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.148514.1>

Verma, A., Purohit, P., Thornton, T., & Lamsal, K. (2023). An examination of skill requirements for augmented reality and virtual reality job advertisements. *Industry and Higher Education*, 37(1), 46-57. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0950422221109104>

Delgado, J. M. D., Oyedele, L., Demian, P., & Beach, T. (2020). A research agenda for augmented and virtual reality in architecture, engineering and construction. *Advanced Engineering Informatics*, 45, 101122. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aei.2020.101122>

Esen, Y. E., Çetinçan, B. K., Yayan, K., Gürlek, H. G., & Yayan, U. (2025). XR-driven robotic system training for occupational health, safety, and maintenance. *IEEE Access*. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2025.3556699>

Maraza-Quispe, B., Rosas-Imán, V. H., Casa-Zeballos, L., Trito-Suáñez, M. A., Traverso-Condori, L. C., Torres-Gonzalez, S. B., et al. (2025). A mixed-methods approach to determine the impact of immersive learning on achieving technological competencies in basic education. *International Journal of Information and Education Technology*, 15(4), 835-846. <https://doi.org/10.18178/ijiet.2025.15.4.2290>

Martins, B. R., Jorge, J. A., & Zorral, E. R. (2023). Towards augmented reality for corporate training. *Interactive Learning Environments*, 31(4), 2305-2323. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2021.1879872>

Vitrano, G., & Micheli, G. J. (2025). Rethinking Work in Industry 5.0: Leveraging Technology for an Ageing Workforce. *Public Health Challenges*, 4(3), e70130. <https://doi.org/10.1002/puh2.70130>

Author Note: This research was conducted as part of the Metaverse Academy Project (Erasmus+ Alliance for Innovation, Grant Agreement 101140232), funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Education and Culture Executive Agency (EACEA). The authors acknowledge the contributions of all participating organizations and interviewed stakeholders across the ten countries.